1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP Trenton H. Norris (CA Bar No. 164781) David M. Barnes (CA Bar No. 318547) Four Embarcadero Center, 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: 415.374.2300 Facsimile: 415.374.2499 trent.norris@hoganlovells.com david.barnes@hoganlovells.com	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Alameda 02/18/2025 at 06:33:14 PM By: Mlagros Cortez, Deputy Clerk	
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. RG-11-600721	
12	HEALTH,	Hon. Somnath Raj Chatterjee	
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DAVID M.	
14		BARNES IN SUPPORT OF SETTLING DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE	
15 16	AERODYNAMIC AVIATION, <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	APPLICATION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	
17	Defendants.	<u>Regarding Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce</u> and Modify Consent Judgment:	
18		Date: February 25, 2025	
19		Time: 1:30 p.m. Reservation Number: 690015831804	
20		Complaint Filed: October 20, 2011	
21 22			
22			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	DECLARATION OF DAVID M. BARNES	
2	I, David M. Barnes, declare as follows:	
3	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before the courts of the State of California and	
4	a senior associate with the law firm of Hogan Lovells US LLP. My firm and I serve as counsel to	
5	Settling Defendants in the above-captioned action.	
6	2. I make this declaration in support of Settling Defendants' <i>Ex Parte</i> Application to	
7	Submit Additional Evidence. The facts stated herein are based upon my personal knowledge and,	
8	if called up to testify thereto, I could and would competently do so.	
9	3. On February 10, 2025, pursuant to the Parties' stipulation, the Court entered an order	
10	providing that all evidence that the Parties discover at least one week in advance of the rescheduled	
11	hearing date that they wish to submit for the Court's consideration shall be submitted collectively	
12	in one filing one week in advance of the rescheduled hearing date in this action, in accordance with	
13	the standard ex parte procedures set forth in the California Rules of Court and Department 21's	
14	procedural guidelines.	
15	4. In the present filing, Settling Defendants are providing all additional evidence that	
16	they have discovered, as of today's date, that they wish to submit for the Court's consideration. I	
17	declare, on Settling Defendants behalf, that the omission of this evidence from the record will cause	
18	Settling Defendants irreparable harm, such that the granting of ex parte relief is appropriate.	
19	Settling Defendants distribute and/or sell avgas in California. The evidence presented in this <i>Ex</i>	
20	Parte Application concerns issues, including those that are attested to concern airworthiness, that	
21	have been identified in aircraft that have used G100UL, the Avgas that CEH seeks to force Settling	
22	Defendants to distribute and/or sell. Settling Defendants were not aware of this evidence at the	
23	time of their prior filings. Settling Defendants contend that this evidence is relevant to the issue of	
24	whether G100UL is commercially available, as well as whether the impacts of the present motion	
25	on the "public interest," including the California general aviation community.	
26	5. On February 13, 2025, I provided notice of Settling Defendants' intent to file this	
27	<i>Ex Parte</i> Application via email to Plaintiff Center For Environmental Health's ("CEH") counsel,	

1	Mark Todzo and Mary-Haley Ousley. A true and correct copy of this email correspondence is		
2	attached as Exhibit A.		
3	6. As of the time of this filing, Settling Defendants are unaware whether CEH will		
4	oppose this Ex Parte Application. CEH therefore has every right to respond to this Application, in		
5	accordance with the standard ex parte procedures set forth in the California Rules of Court and		
6	Department 21's procedural guidelines.		
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing		
8	is true and correct.		
9	Executed this 18th day of February 2025, at San Francisco, California.		
10			
11	and		
12	David M. Barnes		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
	DECLARATION OF DAVID M. BARNES ISO SETTLING DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE CASE NO. RG-11-600721		

EXHIBIT A

Barnes, David

From:	Barnes, David
Sent:	Thursday, February 13, 2025 10:08 PM
То:	'Mark Todzo'; 'Mary-Haley Ousley'
Cc:	Norris, Trent
Subject:	CEH v. Aerodynamic Aviation, et al - RG 11-600721 - Notice of Ex Parte Application

Hi Mark and Mary-Haley -

Pursuant to Cal. Rule of Court R. 3.1203(a) and the Court's Order signed February 10, 2025, I write to provide CEH notice that Settling Defendants intend to file an ex parte application on February 18, 2025, to submit additional evidence in support of their opposition to CEH's motion to enforce and modify the consent judgment in the above action.

At present, Defendants anticipate submitting declarations (with accompanying photo and/or video exhibits) by the below individuals. Defendants may add additional declarations or evidence to their submission, should they discover such evidence between now and February 18:

- David Bertucci: owner of aircraft based at Reid-Hillview who used G100UL;
- Patrick Davis: owner of aircraft based at Reid-Hillview who used G100UL;
- Sean Patrick Kelley: owner of aircraft based at Watsonville who used G100UL;
- Michael Lambert: owner of aircraft based at Reid-Hillview who used G100UL;
- Michael Lionudakis: owner of aircraft based at San Martin airport, who obtained G100UL at Reid-Hillview;
- Terra McKenna: mechanic at Watsonville;
- Nik Nickravesh: mechanic at Reid-Hillview
- Steve Rubin: owner of aircraft based at Reid-Hillview who used G100UL; and
- Jeffrey Soule: mechanic at Reid-Hillview.

Should Defendants discover additional evidence between now and February 18 that they wish to submit, I will update this notice.

The declarations concern issues the above pilots and/or mechanics have encountered with G100UL, as well as the FAA's investigation of these issues. Defendants contend that this evidence is relevant to whether G100UL is "Commercially Available." Because Defendants have already filed their opposition and this evidence did not exist when they filed their opposition, they must move ex parte to submit this evidence for the Court's consideration.

The declarations are not yet finalized. If CEH believes that a review of these declarations in advance of our filing would inform whether they will oppose the motion, I would be happy to send copies of the declarations after they are signed (either piecemeal or all at once).

Please let us know if you have any questions, or if a discussion would be helpful.

Thank you, David

David Barnes

Senior Associate

Hogan Lovells US LLP 4 Embarcadero Center Suite 3500 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 374 2300 Direct: +1 415 374 2373 Fax: +1 415 374 2499 Email: david.barnes@hoganlovells.com www.hoganlovells.com